UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/733,304	12/12/2003	Hiroyuki Urakami	041514-5318	7325	
	7590 05/28/200 DDLE & REATH (DC)	EXAMINER			
1500 K STREE SUITE 1100		SHERMAN, STEPHEN G			
	N, DC 20005-1209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/733,304	URAKAMI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
STEPHEN G. SHERMAN	2629	

	STEPHEN G. SHERMAN	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Claperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount on ortened statutory period for reply origin	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate appropriate appropriate appropriate final Office.	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con 			cause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or 	v);	,,	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a co	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: Independent claim 1 newly recites "includes	s a plurality of pixel cells for a plura	ality of pixels respectiv	ely", "a number
of pixels at each same brightness in a brightness di for each field", which would require further consider	<u>istribution", and "two-dimensional c</u>	lither processing on th	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	rercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10.	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (I13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Amr_Awad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629			